



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
PO BOX 1022  
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55440-1022

**MAILED**

JUL 21 2011

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Patent No. 7,930,206 :  
Koningstein : DECISION ON REQUEST FOR  
Issue Date: April 19, 2011 : RECONSIDERATION OF  
Application No. 10/748,681 : PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT  
Filed: December 31, 2003 :  
Attorney Docket No. 16113- :  
0639001 :  
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR :  
ENABLING AN ADVERTISEMENT TO :  
FOLLOW THE USER TO ADDITIONAL :  
WEB PAGES :  
:

This is a decision on the petition filed on June 17, 2011, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.705(d) requesting that the patent term adjustment indicated on the above-identified patent be corrected to indicate that the term of the above-identified patent is extended or adjusted by one thousand four hundred sixty-eight (1,468) days.

The request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment (PTA) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.705(d) is DISMISSED.

The above-identified application matured into U.S. Pat. No. 7,930,206 on April 19, 2011. The patent issued with a patent term adjustment of 1355 days. The instant application for patent term adjustment was timely filed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.705(d). Patentee contests the period of adjustment of 756 days accorded pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(b) and assert that the correct period of adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(b) is 869 days.

Petitioner's arguments have been carefully considered, but are not persuasive. The period of adjustment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(b) was properly calculated at 756 days.

35 USC 154(b)(1)(B) states in relevant part:

Subject to the limitations under paragraph (2), if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to issue a patent within 3 years after the actual filing date of the application in the United States, not including – (i) any time consumed by continued examination of the application requested by the applicant under section 132(b).

37 CFR 1.702(b) states in relevant part:

Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent within three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application, but not including: (1) Any time consumed by continued examination of the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b).

37 CFR 1.703(b) states in relevant part:

The period of adjustment under § 1.702(b) is the number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the day after the date that is three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application and ending on the date a patent was issued, but not including the sum of the following periods: (1) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the date on which a request for continued examination of the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) was filed and ending on the date the patent was issued.

Counting the period of time excluded from the "B delay" for the filing of a request for continued examination under 35 U.S.C. 132(b), from the date on which the request for continued examination is filed to the date the patent is issued is proper. Patentee does not dispute that time consumed by continued examination of an application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) is properly excluded and that the calculation of the excluded period begins on the date of filing of the request for continued examination. At issue is what further processing or examination beyond the date of filing of the request for continued examination is time consumed by continued examination of the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b). The USPTO indicated in September of 2000 in the

final rule to implement the patent term adjustment provisions of the AIPA that once a request for continued examination under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and 37 CFR 1.114 is filed in an application, any further processing or examination of the application, including granting of a patent, is by virtue of the continued examination given to the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and CFR 1.114. See Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment under Twenty-Year Patent Term, 65 Fed. Reg. 56365, 56376 (Sept. 18, 2000) (response to comment 8). Thus, the excluded period begins with the filing of the request for continued examination and ends with the issuance of the patent.

In view thereof, no adjustment to the patent term will be made.

Nothing in this decision shall be construed as a waiver of the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4) that any civil action by an applicant dissatisfied with a determination made by the Director under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(3) be filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia within 180 days after the grant of the patent.

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3215.



Charlema Grant  
Attorney  
Office of Petitions